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Objectives of the Conference

Assessing the 12 years of existence of the E.U. Data Protection Directive and the 26 years of the Council of Europe Data Protection Convention, the conference will evaluate and rethink data protection by analysing the problems, lacunae and bottlenecks of current data protection law.

The Conference aims at

1. Identifying the new challenges faced by data protection regulation,

2. Examining challenges and issues raised by new ICT developments,

3. Bringing together in a high quality expertise symposium most of the key academic specialists in the field, Data Protection Commissioners and representatives from standardization bodies and ICT industries,


Note

This programme was carefully put together. Printing errors, modifications, or last-minute changes are still possible. Some speakers need to be confirmed.*
1st Day - Morning - Chairman Jon BING

Is Data Protection the Adequate Concept to Face the Challenges of the Information Society?

1. Foundations of Data Protection Law

Which fundamental legal concepts can uphold and justify data protection regulation(s)? Without any doubt, the main legal references for European data protection regulations are the data protection principles laid down in the CoE Convention 108. On which underlying values do these principles rest on? Which values should in turn data protection principles serve and implement? Are data protection principles and these values evolving?

‘Data Protection’ and ‘privacy’ being two different concepts, it seems quintessential to (re-)address the question whether ‘privacy’ (in the sense of the value or set of values protected by article 8 of the E.C.H.R.) can justly be considered as the value that pre-eminently explains, justifies and validates data protection law. Isn’t this a too narrow, outdated perspective? Do developments such as biometrics, interoperability and ambient intelligence affect data protection in a way that requires to take into consideration again its nature and function?

Are values such as ‘human dignity’, ‘individual self-determination’, ‘property’, ‘anonymity’ and ‘non-discrimination’ relevant in this context?

If so, how do they come into play when data protection is challenged by both new technologies and new political priorities such as the fight against terrorism?

9.00 Jon BING, Welcome by the Chairman, Professor, Dr Juris at the Norwegian Research Center for Computers and Law, University of Oslo (NOR)

9.05 Karel DE GUCHT, Opening of the Conference, Minister of External Affairs of Belgium (BE), Professor at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (BE)

9.20 Frans TIMMERMANS*, Opening of the Conference, Minister of European Affairs of the Netherlands (NL)

9.35 Yves POULLET, Professor, Dean of Faculty of Law FUNDP, Head of Centre de Recherches Informatique et Droit CRID, Université de Namur (BE), Self-Determination as a synonymous of Privacy?

9.55 Stefano RODOTA, Professor, Faculty of Law, Università La Sapienza di Roma (IT), Data protection as fundamental right.
10.15 Corien PRINS, Professor, TILT Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society, Tilburg University (NL),
Are property rights in personal data an effective means to enhance their protection?

10.35 Coffee Break

11.00 Serge GUTWIRTH, Professor of Law, Research group LSTS, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (BE),
Paul DE HERT, Professor of Law, Research group LSTS, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (BE), Assistant Professor, TILT Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society, Tilburg University (NL),
A constitutional approach to European Data Protection.

11.30 Discussion

12.00 Lunch

1st Day - Afternoon - Chairman Alain BRUN

2. Privacy versus conflicting values
Privacy can be in conflict with other values of same importance and weight. Cases like the Lindqvist ECJ case, in which freedom of expression is jeopardized by data protection requirements, underline the relevance of how to conciliate such freedom and privacy. What about privacy and individual autonomy (e.g. the US notion of User Empowerment)? How can they be balanced taking into account that ‘consent’ might be a cause of certain privacy threats?

13.30 Alain BRUN, Welcome by the Chairman, Head of Unit DG Justice and Home Affairs in charge of Data Protection, European Commission (EUR)

13.35 Gus HOSEIN, Visiting Fellow Information Systems Group, London School of Economics and Political Science; Senior Fellow, Privacy International, Advisor to the American Civil Liberties Union project on Technology and Liberty (UK),
The spectrum of threats to privacy.

13.55 Ivan SZEKELY, Professor, Department of Information and Knowledge Management, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Counsellor, Open Society Archives, Central European University (HUN),
Freedom of Information vs. Privacy.

14.15 Discussion
The 1995 Data Protection Directive is governed by basic concepts such as ‘processing’, ‘data controller’ and ‘identifiable subjects’. However, new developments concentrating on anonymous profiles rather than on identifiable subjects raise the question whether it is time to reconsider the concept of ‘identity’ or ‘identifiability’. Additionally, is the concept of ‘data processing’ still adequate in the context of an adaptive networked environment and taking into account the role played by new actors such as communication service providers and terminal equipment producers who might not be qualified as ‘data controllers’?

Certain basic principles need also to be scrutinized taking into account ICT developments: Is ‘consent’ still a valid and acceptable basis to legitimate data processing? Is it not too often abused or uninformed (“by using this service, you give your consent to…”)? What about the ‘purpose specification principle’ in the context of interoperable applications? Finally, is a distinction between public and private actors appropriate, especially when considering the development of E-government?

14.30 Jean-Marc DINANT, Director of Research, Centre de Recherche Informatique et Droit CRID, Université de Namur (BE), The concepts of Identity and Identifiability: a convergence between the technical and legal approaches?

14.50 Emilio MORDINI, Director of the Center for Science, Society and Citizenship, Università La Sapienza di Roma (IT), Do Androids dream of Biometric Sheep?

15.10 Mireille HILDEBRANDT, Senior Researcher, Center for Law, Science, Technology and Society Studies LSTS, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (BE), Dean of Education of the Research School for Safety and Security, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam (NL), Profiling: Ethical and Legal Aspects.

15.30 Thierry LEONARD, Professor, Facultés Universitaires Saint-Louis, Brussels (BE), ‘Data Processor’ and ‘Data Controller’. Are these concepts still adequate?

15.50 Discussion

16.00 Coffee break

16.15 Lee BYGRAVE, Dag Wiese SCHARTUM, Professors of Law, University of Oslo (NOR), Consent versus Proportionality Principle: Are the Proportions right?

16.45 Roger BROWNSWORD, Professor of Law, Director of Centre for Technology, Law, Ethics and Society TELOS, King’s College London (UK), Consent in Data Protection Law: A vote of ‘NO Confidence’?
How to Envisage the Role of the different Data Protection Actors and the Synergies between them?

Data Protection authorities might be viewed as watchdogs or as administrative controllers. Is the setting up of such authorities still an adequate way to ensure the respect of legal requirements? Are not there other conceivable solutions to achieve this goal, notably a better cooperation between the traditional way of regulating and new ones?

Beyond that, the possibility for collective actors (human liberties associations, trade-unions, business associations) to play an increasing role in the context of a global society must be assessed.

9.00 Bart DE SCHUTTER, Welcome by the Chairman, Professor, Head of The Institute for European Studies IES, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (BE)

9.05 Peter J. HUSTINX, European Data Protection Supervisor EDPS (EUR),
The Role of Data Protection Authorities.

9.25 Ronald LEENES, Associate Professor, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society TILT, Tilburg University (NL),
The Citizens’ Perspective on Privacy.

9.45 Marc ROTENBERG*, Executive Director Electronic Privacy Information Center EPIC, Washington DC (USA),
The Role of Civil Liberties Associations.

10.05 Jan M. A. BERKVENS*, Professor of Law, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, Juridical Advisor Rabobank (NL)
The Role of Business Associations.

10.25 Discussion

10.45 Coffee Break

How to Regulate Data Protection? Is Data Protection Served by the Law?

1. Self-regulation, Public Regulation and Co-regulation

Self-regulation or co-regulation are used as a substitute or as a complement to public regulation. Even in the USA, however, certain authorities are in favour of government regulation, because self-regulation of data protection does not seem to be effective. Research conducted by the US Federal Trade Commission has shown that online privacy cannot be sufficiently protected exclusively by industry self-regulation initiatives.

In order to analyse the legal validity of these new ways to regulate data protection, three criteria should be taken into account: the legitimacy of alternative options, the conformity of their content vis-à-vis privacy requirements and the effectiveness of the solutions.
2nd DAY - Morning - continued

11.00 Charles RAAB, Professor of Government, University of Edinburg (UK),
Data Protection: Who Does What, When, Where?

11.20 Pierre TRUDEL, Professor, L.R. Wilson Chair on the Law of Information Technology and Electronic Commerce, Public Law Research Center, Université de Montréal (CAN),
How to Regulate Data Protection on the Internet?

11.40 Discussion

12.00 Lunch

---

2nd Day - Afternoon - Chairman Emilio DE CAPITANI

2. Technology as a Threat and/or as a Safeguard

The role of technology vis-à-vis data protection has to be analysed from different points of view.

On the one hand technology creates new threats for data protection and should perhaps be regulated as such (terminal equipment, electronic communications infrastructures,…). There is a need to enlarge data protection legislation to the actors providing these equipments and services.

On the other hand certain solutions (PETS) might be encouraged and additional services might be offered by new actors like infomediaries in order to increase data protection.

13.30 Emilio DE CAPITANI, Welcome by the Chairman, Head of Unit Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, Directorate C, Citizens’ Rights and Constitutional Affairs, European Parliament (EUR)

13.35 Bert-Jaap KOOPS, Professor of Regulation & Technology, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society TILT, Tilburg University (NL),
Actors and responsibilities in privacy protection. Who should act (now)?

13.55 Joel R. REIDENBERG, Professor of Law, Director, Center on Law and Information Policy, Fordham University School of Law New York (USA),
Technology as a Data Protection Mechanism.

14.15 Jane K. WINN, Professor of Law, Director, Shidler Center for Law Commerce and Technology, University of Washington School of Law (USA),
Technical Standards as a Form of Data Protection Regulation.

14.35 Discussion

14.45 Coffee Break
Data protection legislation could be considered as a European trademark, even if it sounds difficult to conciliate the ‘market-approach’ of the EU Directive and the ‘human rights approach’ of the Council of Europe.

Is there room for different approaches according to different cultures?

Do we need to re-evaluate the adequacy concept and its significance?

Do the different ways by which EU is regulating transborder data flows answer to the new practices of transferring data?

Are the basic data protection principles (contained in CoE Convention 108, OECD Privacy Guidelines, Fair Information Practices) still relevant to create a global concept of Data Protection?

Should common data protection principles be adopted in the three EU pillars?

15.00 Sjaak NOUWT, Assistant Professor, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society TILT, Tilburg University, Privacy Consultant (NL), European Data Protection or European Data protections? A common approach of the E.U., the CoE and the national legislators?

15.20 Cécile de TERWANGNE, Professor, Faculty of Law FUNDP, Centre de Recherches Informatique et Droit CRID (BE), Is a global Data Protection regulatory Model possible?

15.40 Christopher KUNER, Chair, International Chamber of Commerce Data Protection Task Force, Hunton & Williams Brussels (BE), Is the present EU ‘adequacy’ framework ‘adequate’?

16.00 Diane ALONSO BLAS, Data Protection Officer, Eurojust (EUR), First Pillar and Third Pillar: need for a common approach?

16.20 Discussion

16.40 Herbert BURKERT, President, Research Centre for Information Law, University of Sankt Gallen, Switzerland (CH), Conclusions. Towards a new Generation of Data Protection legislations?
Reasonable expectations of privacy after 9/11

FREE DEBATE organized by
the Privacy Network, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology
and Society TILT and Vlaams-Nederlands Huis deBuren

with reception offered by deBuren

The horrible events of 9/11/2001 have resulted, more than
five years later, in several legislative measures at national
and international level to fight terrorism. At the same
time, technology makes it easier now than ever to collect
and retain citizens' personal data. The right to information
privacy is seriously reduced both by legislations and by
new techniques that provide more information powers to
the government. What happened with privacy in Europe and in
other countries, like the USA, Canada, etc.? Which legislative
measures can be considered serious threats to the fundamental
right to privacy, and how much Reasonable Expectation of
Privacy is left?

This workshop offers an opportunity to engage with lead-
ing researchers and professionals in the privacy and data
protection area, to be informed of developments and to
debate these contemporary issues. Speakers will discuss
these and other questions from their country perspective.

with expected participation of

Ernst HIRSCH BALLIN,
Minister of Justice of the Netherlands (NL),

Kathalijne BUITENWEG,
Member of the European Parliament (EUR),

Jon BING,
Norwegian Research Center for Computers and Law (NOR),

Ivan SZEKELY,
Open Society Archives, Central European University (HUN),

Marc ROTENBERG,
Electronic Privacy Information Center EPIC,
Washington DC (USA),

Pascale GELLY,
Member of the SCM Lambot-Gelly-Soyer Paris (FR),

Gus HOSEIN,
School of Economics and Political Science,
King’s College London (UK),

Peter HUSTINX,
European Data Protection Supervisor (EUR),

Charles RAAB,
Professor of Government, School of Social and Political
Studies, University of Edinburgh (UK)
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DATES 12 & 13 October 2007

LANGUAGE English

AUDIENCE Members of the international PrivacyNetwork, Data protection authorities and officials, Working group members Art. 29, academics, civil liberties organisations, magistrates, barristers, legal consultants, lobbyists.

FEE 180 euro. 2-days Conference and Friday Evening Event, including handouts, coffee breaks and lunches.

LOCATION Vlaams-Nederlands Huis deBuren (facing the Opera La Monnaie)
Leopoldstraat 6, 1000 Brussels
Tel. +32 (0)2 212 19 30
Fax: +32 (0)2 212 19 49
E-mail: info@deburen.eu
www.deburen.eu

ITINERARY

By public transport
Brussels’ Central Station is only ten minutes’ walk from the Vlaams-Nederlands Huis deBuren.
The Leopoldstraat is located behind the Opera La Monnaie (stage door) and the Central Public Library (HOB) and connects Wolvengracht to Schildknaapstraat.
A number of underground tram (metro) and bus stops at De Brouckèreplein, close to Muntplein and Leopoldstraat.
De Brouckère underground tram station is served by lines 1A & 1B and has stops for lines 23, 52, 55, 56 and 81.
Bus numbers 29, 60, 63, 65, 66 and 71 all stop at De Brouckère.
Bus numbers 34, 48, 95 and 96 stop at the Beurs, within walking distance of the Vlaams-Nederlands Huis deBuren.

By car
Paying car parks close to the Vlaams-Nederlands Huis deBuren:
Parking ‘Schildknaap/Ecuyer’
Parking ‘Munt/Monnaie’.

MORE INFORMATION AT
www.vub.ac.be/iPAVUB
www.privacynetwork.info

Vrije Universiteit Brussel