

Rajasthan High Court

Smt Rameshwari Devi vs State (Urban Development)Ors on 14 September, 2017

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN BENCH AT
JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15238 / 2017

Lallu Ram Gupta And Ors

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Raj And Anr

----Respondent

Connected With S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15235 / 2017 Ajay Singh

----Petitioner Versus State Of Raj And Anr

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15237 / 2017 Lokesh Gupta And Anr

----Petitioner Versus State Of Raj And Anr

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15407 / 2017 Babu Lal Badaya

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15408 / 2017 Prathvi Singh Rathore

----Petitioner Versus (2 of 9) [CW-15238/2017] State (Urban Decelopment)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15409 / 2017 Chauth Mal Kumawat

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15410 / 2017 Smt Saroj Sharma

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15411 / 2017 Smt Krishna Kanwar

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15413 / 2017 Smt Chanda Devi

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Decelopment)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15419 / 2017 Madan Mahipal

----Petitioner (3 of 9) [CW-15238/2017] Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15420 / 2017 Naresh Kumar Kumawat

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15421 / 2017 Smt Manju Sharma

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Decelopment)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15422 / 2017 Banwar Yogendra Singh

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15423 / 2017 Dinesh Kumar Badaya

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15424 / 2017 (4 of 9) [CW-15238/2017] Rakesh Kumar Gupta &Anr

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15425 / 2017 Smt Rameshwari Devi

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15427 / 2017 Ramji Lal Sharma

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15478 / 2017 Dr Vinod Garg

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15479 / 2017 Ramphool Meena

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors (5 of 9) [CW-15238/2017]

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15491 / 2017 Shambhu Dayal Agrawal

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15492 / 2017 Surendra Mohan Sharma&Anr

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15493 / 2017 Smt Phoola Devi Kumawat

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15494 / 2017 Gopal Kumawat

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15497 / 2017 Smt Aarti Sharma

----Petitioner Versus (6 of 9) [CW-15238/2017] State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15498 / 2017 Bhanwari Devi

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15499 / 2017 Amar Chand Kumawat

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15500 / 2017 Rameshwar Prasad Sharma

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15598 / 2017 Prem Devi Sahoo

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15600 / 2017 Prabhakar Saraswast (7 of 9) [CW-15238/2017]

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15601 / 2017 Vijay Pandey

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15603 / 2017 Smt Anita

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15620 / 2017 Smt Manhar Kumari Gauttam & Anr

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15630 / 2017 Smt Kamla

----Petitioner Versus State (Urban Development)Ors

----Respondent (8 of 9) [CW-15238/2017] S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15750 / 2017 Subodh Kumar Gupta

----Petitioner Versus State Of Raj And Ors

----Respondent _____ For
Petitioner(s) : Mr. MM Ranjan, Sr. Adv. with Ms. Nidhi Mishra Mr. Bharat Vyas, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Sharma & Mr. Dharmendra Joshi For Respondent(s) : Mr. Amit Kuri Mr. Manoj Kumar, DC-4, Mr. Radhey Pratap, DC-5, Mr. Rahul Jain, SP, JDA & Mr. Brijesh Avasthi, Ex.En. - present in person _____ HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.N. BHANDARI Order 14/09/2017 These writ petitions were heard to a great length. The arguments on merit of the case have been raised in reference to not only allotment of plots by the society but even applications for conversion of land with deposition of fee and lastly even approval of the layout plan.

Learned counsel for respondents made a reference of the order under Section 90B of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act, 1956 regarding resumption of land. The argument ultimately led to the issue of shifting of central line so that minimum damage is caused. To find out the aforesaid, the map prepared after PT survey was seen by the court. If central line is shifted then one side of the (9 of 9) [CW-15238/2017] road would sustain more damage. To find out and to have minimum damages of the shops, the Jaipur Development Authority is directed to mark the shops to find out as upto what extent, it would go in the road. It would be kept in mind that width of the road is to be maintained 160 ft. The effort would also be made to have least damages to the shops, if possible. The aforesaid exercise be undertaken. The order aforesaid has been passed with the consent of the parties. A report pursuant to this order would be submitted. Let the exercise directed above complete within two days.

List these cases on 18th September, 2017 on the top of the list.

(M.N. BHANDARI)J. FRBOHRA