



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
6	I'm not sure I understand, really, why these changes are occurring. I understand WHAT it means, but the WHY isn't clear. Is it to make cultivation easier? And to make permitting easier? It sounds like the county is interested in streamlining permitting, but how will the county protect neighboring property owners if permits are now issued via a ministerial process - basically "over the counter."	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elizabeth.Carlo@gmail.com
7	will the willamson act change on a lea grow. size?	TIm	bestofthebay98@gmail.com
8	Please define what is considered a ridge top	Kila Peterson	Kilapeterson@gmail.com
9	Vi Strain Why didn't the part 2 cannabis ordinance address neighborhood compatibility issues? A commercial cannabis operation 300 ft from our residential homes and 100 ft from our property lines is insufficient to protect rural residences from cannabis cultivation environmental impacts. We are requesting a minimum 1000ft setback from residential property lines that can be extended depending on locally prevailing conditions and that cannabis processing facilities be located in commercial/industrial zone district where such uses are allowed.	Vi Strain	vcrstrain@yahoo.com
10	what protections are planned to keep neighbors like SOS from bullying cannabis operators? when setbacks and standards are correct what protections are there for hard working county families? to each their own. repetaed calls and complaints from the same angry neighbors are causing zero-day raids of family farms. stop treating cannabis farmers like criminals. who will stick up for us?	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
11	How do they plan to regulate the outdoor grow's odor?	Ayn Garvisch	Agarvisch@yahoo.com
12	Odor control for outdoor cultivation makes no sense.	Sica Roman	sica@sonic.net

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
13	West County neighborhoods are intermingled with both residential (RR) and agricultural (DA) parcels. In our neighborhood we have 16 lots. Four of those are above 10 acres. If all four of these lots farm cannabis, our neighborhood would become unlivable. Would you consider raising the lot size to 15 or 20acres?	Viviane Farre	viviane@foodandstyle.com
14	Will there be an administrative tool to allow existing operators with projects in the penalty relief program to apply for additional acreage up to 10% of the parcel through the Dept. of Ag.?	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
15	Young native trees, specifically oak species need to be protected. There is very little regeneration currently and we need to see more to protect watersheds, native habitat, biodiversity and ecosystem health.	Natasha Granoff	njgranoff@comcast.net
16	Please clarify, did you just say growers will be required to control smell off the parcel site.	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
17	Clarify odor topic. Does this mean if I can detect odor from a cannabis operation on an adjacent property that they will be required to mitigate the odor? Said another way, to get a permit will require that odor mitigation be present before a permit will be granted?	Mark Farmer	markafarmer@msn.com
18	I am a member of SOSN and the Gold Ridge Neighborhood Group and submitting the following question: Why does the draft provide less protection to my family at home than in public? The BOS understood the problems and specifically amend the setback requirements to 1000 feet for Schools, Parks, and Bikeways. Yet your draft retains the 100 foot setback from personal residences. So your proposal provides my children and spouse more protection at places where they will only be for a few hours' than their home where they spend the majority of their time and are more subjected to the impacts? This difference makes no sense. The BOS saw the wisdom to increase the setbacks to 1,000ft, the same setbacks are appropriate for the home. Should be 1,000 ft. everywhere.	Bill Krawetz	billkrawetz@comcast.net

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
19	Can someone clarify how growers mitigate odor on outdoor grows? Does it require equipment that might impose additional nuisance...like fans, filtration systems, light/power?	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elisabeth.Carlo@gmail.com
20	Just LIA, LEA,DA,RRD zones, what about light industrial?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
21	Ridge top protection clause should be eliminated and manage it using the same language as other agriculture for consistency.	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
22	Do you plan on covering any changes that were made to Chapter 26?	Amber Morris	amber.morris@norcalcann.com
23	Does air quality requirements address diesel generators? Are the generators allowed?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
24	Why is there a change to the locations of wells within Zone 3 & Zone 4 areas (increased from 100 ft to 300 ft)? Isn't the point of this ordinance to align it with other agriculture within Sonoma county. This item does not make sense and should not be changed. A professional Hydrogeological survey should provide the data for this decision process as it does for other agriculture, not simply increase this well location setback to make the ministerial process easier.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
25	Eliminate the tree language in the cannabis ordinance and reference the larger tree policy that is currently being created	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
26	What is the process for amending the dysfunctional parts of Chapter 26?	Joanna Cedar	jhcedar@gmail.com
27	Please treat Cannabis like any other Agricultural Crop	Justin Arowcavage	arowcavage@gmail.com
28	This is going to be agricultural why are other agricultural crops not being put to this task	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
29	<p>The public has discovered countless issues in the draft documents. These flaws are shockingly numerous. Moreover, because the current draft is so challenging to interpret, there may be many more undetected flaws.</p> <p>QUESTION: Has the county considered the solution of stopping this process and doing a re-write rather than addressing every mistake the public has discovered in the draft documents? Will the County actually bring this flawed, poorly written and cobbled together document to the Planning Commissioners?</p> <p>-James Bracco Save our Sonoma Neighborhoods (SOSN)</p>	james bracco	jim@braccos.com
30	<p>Is there any discussion of developing a Cannabis Equity program in Sonoma county? The state is issuing grants to counties and cities that are participating.</p>	Sarah Shrader	sarah@safeaccessnow.org
31	<p>Why are we not regulating these cultivation sites like any other Agricultural Crop. Double standards based on antiquated reefer madness rhetoric is unfounded and restricts our economic growth.</p>	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
32	<p>Comment re: Setbacks: State law mandates only 600 ft from sensitive uses, my request is for the BOS to align County setback requirements with state law rather than implementing a different standard of 1000 ft.</p>	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
33	<p>The Ad Hoc recommended that cannabis cultivation be treated like other Ag in sonoma conuty. This proposed ordinance doesnt' do that. If cannasbi shoud be treated like other Ag, all these new restrictions shoud be eliminated. No other Ag has these restrictions.</p>	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
34	<p>We live 1000ft from an illegal cannbis operation. They grow outdoor. PRMD just fined them for their illegal activities. We suffered from terrible, noxious odors from July through October 2020. We are down-wind from them and the odor was severe. How would you deal with this problem and the strong odors carried by the wind from outdoor operations?</p>	Viviane Farre	viviane@foodandstyle.com



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
47	Sonoma County General Plan states that all residents in agricultural areas shall recognize that the primary use of the land may create agricultural "Nuisance" situations such as flies, noise, odors, and spraying chemicals.	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
48	The already setbacks established address odor mitigation. Additionally, the County's environmental consultants seem to address odor in the Mitigated Negative Declaration that they prepared in association with cannabis cultivation and this draft ordinance.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
49	Why are there restriction on cannabis (THC odor), but not hemp. They smell the same, do they not?	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
50	Hemp and cannabis smell the same- why the different set of odor control standards?	Sica Roman	sica@sonic.net
51	What are you doing to more closely assess water use and the draw down of neighboring wells? These existing wells are critical for our homes and our livestock. Some penalty relief operators are already drawing down our water. Shouldn't an EIR address this?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com
52	Treat cannabis as agriculture and follow the new hemp ordinance that does not have so many inequality barriers	Kila Peterson	Kilapeterson@gmail.com
53	i think it is wrong that the land size was raised from 5 to 10. it hurt a lot of good family farms. its really obnoxious that these cannabis hater groups want to dominate cannabis operators and raise the lot size so that only the richest people could cultivate. NOTHING will satisfy these people so please DO NOT try.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
54	Why does cannabis (THC) need to be screened by not hemp?	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
55	We lost our BOF certification. the General plan was amended but the zoning code was not. so cannabis will not be recognized as true ag to the Board of Forestry. Will cannabis farms be required to have 20 ft wide roads?	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
56	Treat cannabis like any other industry Prioritize tax paying farmers who have been stuck in the penalty relief permitting process for YEARS. Adopt pro-cannabis policies that allow our ESSENTIAL industry to thrive. Support the creation of more local green jobs Support the preservation of our rural ag lands and pesticide free acreage	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
57	what website will host the responses to these questions?	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
58	Are there plans to discuss Chapter 26 cannabis changes?	AnnaRae Grabstein	annarae.grabstein@norcalcann.com
59	Safety and fire prevention. our streets are narrow in Bloomfield.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
60	Has the county defined a process for permittees who are on less than 10 acres but were grandfathered in as existing operators?	Shannon Hattan	Info@fiddlers-greens.com
61	What are you doing to screen huge hoop houses on ridge lines? Why are operators seeming to ignore requirements plant and maintain screening? These should never be allowed on ridge lines and they destroy scenic corridors - what is the County policy?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com
62	Establish policy to allow hoop houses to be permanent on propto reduce unnecessary material and labor waste, as well as improved sustainability because of reduced water use	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
63	Setbacks should mirror state law	Joel S	joel.freston@421.group
64	Increased minimum parcel sizes raises the barriers to entry into the regulated market, making land acquisition near impossible for low income farmers.	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
65	Why should hoop houses be allowed to be permanent? Under what controls? Limits?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com
66	I do not mind people growing whatever, but not in the center of a residential neighborhood like Bloomfield.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
67	I live in an unincorporated community of about 400 people. All of us rely on our wells for water. There is not adequate protection regarding the amount of water usage by cannabis growers.	Virginia Hair	hairklein@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
68	<p>We strongly encourage the County to strive toward mirroring state law, which provides the best and most clear path for Sonoma County cultivators to remain competitive. Many of the setback requirements provided in the draft ordinance are overly restrictive and put a burden on operators, especially those who seek to expand or alter their operations with respect to sensitive uses.</p> <p>We believe that if a sensitive use chooses to locate within the setbacks of an existing cannabis operation, the cannabis operation ought to reserve the right to expand regardless of the proximity of the sensitive use. In other words, the cultivator was there first and ought to be able to have a degree of confidence in their ability to grow and expand their business without risk of losing that ability at no fault of their own.</p>	Joel S	joel.freston@421.group
69	How do we create a neighborhood exclusion process? How can we start now? Our neighborhood would like to have protection. Please advise.	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com
70	Why is cannabis been signaled out for these environmental restrictions, and not other crops?	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
71	How are you inspecting for herbicides and pesticide use relative to sensitive neighboring habitats?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com
72	We request that Industrial zoned cultivation, which remains in Chapter 26, be better aligned with cultivation allowances in Chapter 38 (specifically square footage and ownership limits).	Amber Morris	amber.morris@norcalcann.com
73	I do not want my town to have increasing traffic. I am concerned about the danger of crime. They want to use drowns...how about our privacy?	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
74	I am not only concerned about odor, but our water table. What protection do the rural residents have if a "grow" dries up our wells?	Nick Houtz	hiediehoe@aol.com
75	This is agricultural and should be treated as such	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
76	What are the maximum footprint for outdoor grows, is it the same as winery buildings on parcel size?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
77	How can the size of cannabis grows be allowed to increase when we have such huge concerns about water draw down?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
78	<p>What is the administrative tool for existing, compliant legacy operators to apply for increased acreage under their pending permits?</p> <p>What is the reason for the groundwater monitoring depth to change from 100 to 300 ft in zone 3/4?</p>	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
79	I just want to point out that the permitting for a dairy farm is far more involved than marijuana cultivation - despite similar land use/nuisance issues like odor, water, waste. Perhaps marijuana cultivation permitting should align more with those of what someone who wants to build/operate a commercial dairy.	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elisabeth.Carlo@gmail.com
80	Please respect the intersection of DA and RR parcels.	Gregory Koss	gkspam@gregkoss.com
81	Cannabis operators are already subject to cultural, archaeological, biological and hydrogeological surveys and reports as a part of the permitting scientific review process. How can we let the "Not in my back yard" group cripple the growth of a legal agricultural industry? It's time for everyone accept that cannabis is a legal agricultural product that is essential to the future recovery and growth of Sonoma County's economy. It should be treated the same as every other agricultural product in Sonoma County including vineyards, dairy operators, pig farms or other odor producing agricultural industries within the county.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
82	SUGGESTED CHANGE: if a sensitive use chooses to locate within the setbacks of an existing cannabis operation, the cannabis operation ought to reserve the right to expand regardless of the proximity of the sensitive use. In other words, the cultivator was there first and ought to be able to have a degree of confidence in their ability to grow and expand their business without risk of losing that ability at no fault of their own.	Joel S	joel.freston@421.group
83	are you going to ignore the questions already submitted by email	Nancy Richardson	nrchrdn@sonic.net
84	No equity program means So Co is late and also not positioned to get the 33 Million in State funding. What is the county plan to participate and access those grant funds	Yarrow Kubrin	yarrowkubrin.2013@gmail.com



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
95	Not a Question: Cannabis odor is no different than other less-than-pleasant smells we call "The Sonoma Aroma." I've lived next to a pig farm and a poultry operation in unincorporated Petaluma. Those smells aren't seasonal. Cannabis is.	Gretchen Giles	gretchen.giles@humannaturepr.com
96	Herbicide and pesticide use will prevent the cannabis from making it through testing.	Joanna Cedar	jhcedar@gmail.com
97	We are a historical community. We were here before the proposed grow operation in Bloomfield.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
98	I am concerned that you read and focus on cannabis industry point of view - many examples - and gloss over neighborhood concerns	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
99	Incentivize farmers to convert conventionally farmed/pesticide ridden acreage into clean cannabis farms by opening up the program and lowering the barriers to entry by treating cannabis like agriculture.	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
100	How can you receive comments on March 18th before 12 and have them ready in early afternoon for the Planning Commission on the same day?	Vi Strain	vcrstrain@yahoo.com
101	We fully expect cannabis to be decriminalized under the Biden administration. When cannabis is removed from Schedule 1 status, is the county prepared to quickly roll back all of these overly burdensome regulations and treat cultivation like every other agricultural crop?	Shannon Hattan	Info@fiddlers-greens.com
102	The Sonoma County cannabis advisory committee reviewed the issue of establishing exclusion and inclusion zones and recommended they not be implemented here in Sonoma County.	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
103	Are we removing the 1 acre cap per person?	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
104	Sonoma County farmers and ranchers see this ordinance as an amazing opportunity to diversify their income form their properties in times when Sonoma County's staple agriculture industries are seeing a decline in demand	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
105	What will the County be doing about managing human waste? How long will a cannabis grow be allowed to use temporary toilets? What are the plans?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
106	The currently existing Cannabis Permit applicants need the 'Priority Processing' they were promised.	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
107	Wait...so does that mean that if you have a 22 acre parcel, you can have an indoor grow that covers 11 acres of land?	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elizabeth.Carlo@gmail.com
108	Are there a new setback requirements for greenhouses?	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
109	For nursery production? Is was not clarified	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
110	Are you considering impact on rural character?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
111	On our large RRD and LEA parcels - structures may be 1 acre to 2 or more structures... how will the County address impervious surface and visual impacts	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
112	Many farmers have abutting parcels, will the setback of 100' be removed when a property line is in-between two properties owned by the same owner?	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
113	Grows should not be allowed to expand in order to meet water needs - if a site doesn't have enough water - shouldn't the County just say it is not a good fit?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com
114	can AG exempt buildings be used for hanging and drying? these buildings have been on AG properties for years. they should be able to be used for hanging and drying cannabis.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
115	For nurseries there is not any Oder why the use of carbon filters ,a big waste of energy	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
116	A growing area which is adjacent to at least 14 families and a historical cemetery should not be allowed	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
117	hoops houses should not need to be removed. what a waste of labor and resources to take them down and rebuild them every 6 months.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
118	Why are you reading the questions and not allowing anyone from the panel to answer them?	Ayn Garvisch	Agarvisch@yahoo.com

# Question		Asker Name	Asker Email
119	It's appropriate to increase the amount of canopy to 10% of the parcels in Ag zones, but the smallest cultivators have been left out of the county's program for years. County staff and the planning commission both recommended cottage licenses on AR and RR parcels in 2016 with a minimum parcel size of 5 acres. With the need for economic development and basic fairness, small canopy farmers and larger farmers should both be able to participate in the regulated market.	Joanna Cedar	jhcedar@gmail.com
120	There is already very limited agricultural use zoned acreage in Sonoma County. What does the county plan to do to protect agricultural acreage from the continued development into residential uses; and, to protect agricultural lands from the continued attack regarding land use rights from neighbors who don't understand what the land use/ zoning laws are?	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
121	Can cultivators still use 22% of the flower canopy for propagation of which is exempt of the 10% canopy measurement?	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
122	Sec. 38.12.040. (A)1 of the proposed ordinance states that, "cultivated area must be set back a minimum of 100 feet from the property lines of the parcel on which the cannabis is cultivated." While a setback from typical property lines at 100 feet seems reasonable, the text as written prevents those operators who own adjacent parcels from centralizing their operations. In other words, this text prevents owners of adjacent parcels with separate permits from sharing facilities as well as locating those facilities in a centralized area. Further, the text actually adds to the overall impact of the development on the environment by forcing the operators to develop redundant facilities, build more roads, structures and demands on the respective properties. We ask that you provide a setback waiver for such parties who own adjacent parcels and wish to share the facilities across the permits. The state recognizes the value in this as well as it allows for the use of these shared facilities across adjacent license type	Joel S	joel.freston@421.group
123	Water....we do not have enough in Bloomfield to feed the propose grow. They will be seriously interfere with our water supply.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
124	Do not include water regulations in the ordinance; instead let the State Water Board manage water.	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
125	Reduce the park setback to 600 feet and align with state law.	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
126	If other agricultural operations are allowed to use temporary/portable restrooms services to manage human waste, why should cannabis be singled out and not be allowed to utilize local business providers for this service? This makes no sense that you make one set of rules for all other agricultural operators, but a different set for cannabis operators.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
127	For nursery with preexisting structures, will setbacks be waived	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
128	Hoop houses are only good if you can cover the crop for the spring and the fall. 6 month limit defeats the purpose.	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
129	What controls will the County be putting into place to restrict tourism where roads simply can't handle the increased traffic and impacts on rural roads?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com
130	<p>hooray to Andrew Smith and the AG Dept for taking on this task and helping ALL agriculture farmers in the county. They are so much better than PRMD.</p> <p>we should apply to the AG DEPT (not PRMD) for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>indoor cultivation</li> <li>indoor nursery</li> <li>central processing</li> <li>transportation</li> </ul> <p>the AG DEPT can issue the "use permit" and then the farmers can get only BUILDING PERMITS for building.</p> <p>thank you</p>	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
131	Please remove the plant count (25 Plants) for cottage outdoor permits. - Align with the state which has removed the plant count. Vegetative non- or producing plants are crucial for breeding stock and vegetative preparedness.	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
132	Re: important farmlands - there should be no 1:1 offset for cannabis, and this should be regulated like other ag	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
133	Cultivators should be allowed to truck in recycled water to reduce pressure on groundwater. It should actually be encouraged. Water catchment systems should also be incentivized.	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
134	the setbacks are already extreme. stop trying to make the SOS NIMBYS happy.  NOTHING WILL SATISFY THE BULLY SOS	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
135	Even 1000 feet set back will not help the residents of Bloomfield who live around the proposed grow, or anywhere in the town.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
136	please lower the parks setback to 500 feet in bloomfeild. the hateful neighbors will never be happy.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
137	Setbacks for preexisting greenhouses?	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
138	Will the Ag Department receive the budget it needs to process the amount of cannabis that is needed to be grown to help out the farmers that are in declining industries who are looking to continue putting food on their table from their properties by cultivating a more valuable crop?	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
139	Slope planting limitations should be eliminated in this ordinance and managed it using the same language as other ag for consistency	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
140	While is sounds heroic to support water catchment - reliance on water should not be dependent on trucking water or collection. How can the County support expansion of a product in water sensitive areas? Why allow increased sizes and demands if a site doesn't have enough water?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com
141	These setbacks are not aligned with state law, just reiterating my comments to align with California's 600 ft setback. This also means aligning the definition of sensitive use. Thank you!	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
142	How will smells be measured?	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
143	Please align with the State wherever possible for the local ordinance... It saves our county staff time and money and the state regulations are plenty restrictive as is.	Justin Arowcavage	arowcavage@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
144	Why are we thanking the lawyers for the cannabis industry and then reading Qs about "hateful neighbors"	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
145	Align the setbacks with state law	Joanna Cedar	jhcedar@gmail.com
146	The setbacks seem so weak for any neighbor of a new grow	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
147	The proposed grow is literally in the center of our Bloomfield town. Not hateful, just looking at the fact that that size grow needs to go in a place other than the center of a residential community.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
148	Do you think if you treated wine grapes this bad you wouldn't have any grapes here	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
149	COMMENT: Since there wasn't an agenda can you let us know if you plan to cover Chapter 26 in this meeting? There was no specification in the invite that only Chapter 38 would be covered.	AnnaRae Grabstein	annarae.grabstein@norcalcann.com
150	there has been so much information about this on the county cannabis page. it was delayed until the end of january. but the documnets have been available since jan31. the local newspapers have no writers that could digest this complex issue.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
151	Those new setbacks will not help with the odor problems. And those are not small problems for our communities!	Viviane Farre	viviane@foodandstyle.com
152	setbacks should be the same as the state setbacks	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
153	RE: setbacks. Alligning with State regulations makes sense. I havent read any argument that contains a good reason why it should be 1000 vs. 600.	Yarrow Kubrin	yarrowkubrin.2013@gmail.com
154	The existing setbacks are inadequate. We are asking for a 1000 ft. from residential Property lines	Vi Strain	vcrstrain@yahoo.com
155	Have you considered aligning setbacks with state law to save time on future ordinance updates? Makes sense.	Craig Litwin	Craig@421.group
156	Please align the Sonoma Cannabis Ordinance where applicable to state laws. As state laws continue to evolve, the Sonoma County ordinance should change with it.	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
157	Are there paths to build bathrooms in ag exempt bathrooms? Many of our commercial cannabis activities require bathrooms and we don't want to have to open our home bathroom to the operations.	Felipe Recalde	Felipe@far.ventures

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
158	Maybe when you talk about the proposed changes, could you comment briefly on what exists now. I'm struggling with the setbacks issue because I'm not sure how significant (or not) the changes are.	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elizabeth.Carlo@gmail.com
159	Please align with state where possible	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
160	How about everyone respecting the comments of neighbors? There are good reasons farmers and ranchers are concerned. County officials - please take our input in the spirit with which it is given. Please protect our resources, our neighborhoods, our environment. Why isn't the County including more existing rancher/farmer input?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com
161	Vi Strain Please refer to my initial setback comment	Vi Strain	vcrstrain@yahoo.com
162	Nurseries should be prioritized as there is a local supply chain shortage and traveling and spending monies outside our county. That is money that is leaving our county and creating unnecessary carbon impact. Let's keep our money and our farming in Sonoma County.  Please clarify that the new ordinance removes the sqft. cap on nurseries	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
163	new peoposed setsbacks are terrible! They should be considered on a case by case basis.....why should you DECREASE the setbacks when that seems to be the MOST important for rural residents!	Nick Houtz	hiediehoe@aol.com
164	Why can't we truck water to decrease the pressure on ground water?	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
165	How is water supply determined to be adequate and sustainable? Is the cultivator required to commission a water study?	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elizabeth.Carlo@gmail.com
166	Why is cannabis subject to these draconian water restrictions when no other crop is? If cannabis is to be treated like Ag, then lets treat it like Ag.	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
167	What happens if it becomes clear that a site is drawing water down of neighboring properties? What recourse will neighbors have?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenannmahoney@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
168	Please have someone explain how a Net Zero Water Plan works... cannabis uses 1 million gallons/ acre/ year per harvest about 3 AFY... how do you offset this level of use	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
169	Please align water use with the state regulations and other agricultural uses so we are all treated the same	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
170	hoop houses should be able to have weatherproof artificial light inside tarps to add artificial light to the defined non-canopy immature plant area. this can be achieved with no light leakage with tarps. outdoor farmers need to have an outdoor "veg room". this is NOT to be confused with an outdoor nursery because it would be plants for the local farm only.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
171	If groundwater monitoring is required, will all growers using a well(s) be required to have meters and report their usage to the county?	Virginia Hair	hairklein@gmail.com
172	why is cannabis being held to different standards than other ag or residents?	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
173	Cannabis can be dry farmed or irrigated, and does not need water for frost protection or post processing. 2:1 is a closer ratio to grapes. Depending on the type of grape grown and for what use (table grape, wine grape, etc) the water use for cannabis cultivation can be significantly less. Plus, cannabis requires a much smaller cultivation footprint than grapes for the same financial return	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
174	The CA Water Board already strictly regulates water source, quality and discharge for all cannabis cultivators. The County should work directly with the CA Water Board to refine the water requirements to avoid duplication of reporting and existing requirements.	Amber Morris	amber.morris@norcalcann.com
175	Will there be a monitoring component for all this new use? Nice to say must be sustainable and adequate...	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
176	Make Bloomfield happy. Do not allow the water depletion and pollution, crime security, air quality, fire safety, infrastructure, pollution, disruption to wild life. No new wells should be created.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
177	the state has strict water requirements and we don't need additional requirements	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
178	The requirement of a dry season well yield test is onerous and an unnecessary burden on applicants, whereas what was acceptable in the past, a professional hydrologist would not only provide more meaningful determination for water use requirements but is a better predictor of the need of water and its use as it varies from site to site. Therefore we believe that a professional hydrologist would be better able to determine water sufficiency, at less of a burden to the applicant, than a dry season well yield test. Cannabis farmers should be held to the same standards as farmers of other agricultural crops and commodities.	Joel S	joel.freston@421.group
179	Cumulative impacts?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
180	Water use: if water is already inadequate - then how can a grow be allowed to continue, never mind expand?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com
181	How will grow GW consumption be publicly reviewable, albeit anonymous and aggregated as needed to protect individual privacy?	Todd Board	toddwboard@gmail.com
182	what protectiuons did we have to the boomer generation building vineyards on every corner of the county? now this same generation of folks seem to hold a stricter standard to cannabis farmers.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
183	How is fire season being addressed with the water changes?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
184	Do these proposed changes address only large outdoor operations, or the current prohibition of small boutique indoor operations in rural residential areas? Seems to me that these two land uses should be separate.	Eric Hanson	Mcmahon6262@gmail.com
185	Is there a provision to protect small, one-lane rural roads from all the trucking from the cannabis industry? Trukicng water is not a good idea!	Viviane Farre	viviane@foodandstyle.com
186	Does Sonoma county have the same water restriction for all other Ag	Kila Peterson	Kilapeterson@gmail.com
187	farmers have RIGHTS to their water and it NO ONE'S right to BULLY cannabis farmers for any reason they can find.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
196	Can you provide more detail on how the Net Zero water use will be approved or enforced? Does Net Zero mean no groundwater withdrawals in impaired watersheds?	Harriet Buckwalter	hbuck@sonic.net
197	Cannabis is a great plant. I am on the Board of the Sonoma County Herb Association. I am an herbologist. Cannabis has helped so many. I just do not think it belongs dead center of a Residential Community such as Bloomfield. There are other more suitable locations.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
198	How will this expansion affect our RRV watershed? How is fire services being affected?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
199	In my many many years in Sonoma County, I have NEVER seen the BOS side with the individual tax payer and resident OVER Business. I understand the frustration we are feeling when our way of life, property values. health & safety is being threatened!	Nick Houtz	hiediehoe@aol.com
200	Please regulate as all other agricultural crops	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
201	SOS wants water meter reports to make things hard for cannabis farmers. they will complain about EVERYTHING they can.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
202	Water is a huge concern. Is the County using a specific measurement of what water draw down is acceptable if any?	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com
203	maybe these people should do research and not excoect to waste our time getting them up to speed on how to complain about their neighbors family farms.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
204	Will a professional licensed hydrogeologist be allowed to conduct certified well depth readings in lieu of requiring a depth sounding probe for groundwater depth readings?	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
205	Comment- I think that is great!	Devin James	devinb.james@gmail.com
206	hooray to term limits increasing	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
207	Why 5 years?	Julia Dapore	mosaics.rock@gmail.com
208	thank God	Bill Northey	Northeyb@gmail.com
209	5 years is appropriate	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
210	Does the 5-year permit cost any more than the 1-year permit?	Stacey Carlo	Stacey.Elizabeth.Carlo@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
211	Water uses are not yet measurable across the board and someone stated above in their very apparent opposition of Cannabis. Cannabis can be farmed in many different ways. We are an environmentally conscious and community supportive industry. But we must be provided the opportunity to create dialogue around important topics.	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
212	In the dairy belt area - one year preferred so if there are problems the County can be involved with changes. A five year cycle is too long.	Colleen Mahoney	colleenanmahoney@gmail.com
213	If a grower violates the odor control provisions during the 5-year period, is there a provision for enforcement during that time?	Viviane Farre	viviane@foodandstyle.com
214	less work. we can concentrate on growing	Bill Northey	Northeyb@gmail.com
215	How often do other agricultural industries need to renew their permits here in Sonoma County?	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
216	odors are not going away	Bill Northey	Northeyb@gmail.com
217	What's the review and comment process for permits?	Gregory Koss	gkspam@gregkoss.com
218	Lessening permit renewal periods from 1 to 5 years seems like a less burdensome permit and regulatory structure.	Yarrow Kubrin	yarrowkubrin.2013@gmail.com
219	disempower hater neighbors. let cannabis farmers be treated with some compassion and not criminals that need to be reviewed every single year.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
220	How will enforcement work - annual review shows violations then is the permit revoked	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
221	Being that it took four years to get my permit five years is too low	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
222	Five years is a good start, but have you considered longer? What happens if a sensitive use moves in during a five year term at renewal?	Craig Litwin	Craig@421.group
223	Why are we treating these permitting requirements any differently than other Ag... again.	Sam De La Paz	sam@greenwaveconsult.us
224	5 years is great- it costs a lot of time and money to get the permit in the first place. With all of that effort and There are already so many regulations we meet, it's great.	Devin James	devinb.james@gmail.com



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
237	Please allow for education on farms as this is how we pass on knowledge	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
238	will farms be allowed to process onsite in ag exempt structures similar to other agricultural industries?	Shannon Hattan	Info@fiddlers-greens.com
239	Self distribution is great!	Devin James	devinb.james@gmail.com
240	Mendocino County is aggressively pursuing cannabis tourism and Sonoma County is the natural stop for tourists. Our sungrown cannabis is among the best in the world and should be shared and celebrated.	Gretchen Giles	gretchen.giles@humannaturepr.com
241	<p>The water use issue seems to always be exaggerated when it comes to cannabis.</p> <p>I agree that properties should be bound to the same rules as vineyards. But the amount of water per finished product is actually very low compared to vineyards and livestock.</p> <p>A large cannabis plant provides for the same amount of ‘imbibing’ as a thousand cases of wine. Made that number up, but you see my point. :)</p>	Eric Hanson	Mcmahon6262@gmail.com
242	Align events w/ greater event policy like what the wine industry complies with to ensure community benefit & opportunity for participation in state event licensing program	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
243	Ag tourism (wine, organic gardens, farm markets, etc) are a large economic driver for Sonoma County. Does the county have a plan to help integrate cannabis into other permitted, Agricultural based tourism?	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
244	Cannabis Spas do not belong in the center of a rural residential town.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
245	can you have andrew please expand on the permitting of cannabis events and tourism? how many will be allowed per year?	Ayn Garvisch	Agarvisch@yahoo.com



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
261	there are wine tasting events even in residential areas so cannabis should be allowed just the same.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
262	nice job ladies.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
263	is it true that the net reveue after expenses from cannabis in 2020 was only \$70,000	Nancy Richardson	nrchrdsn@sonic.net
264	Do not let money/revenue interfere with our right to safety...Bloomfield.	Marta May	champagnetaste2002@yahoo.com
265	Will wineries who grow cannabis and grapes be able to sample products to the public?	Nancy Citro	Citro@sonic.net
266	Please treat cannabis like any other agricultural industry -Prioritize the farmers that have been stuck in the permitting process for YEARS. - Adopt pro-cannabis policies that allow our essential industry to thrive by creating jobs and stimulating the economy!	Zac Guerinoni	zac@ahti-farms.com
267	Add languages allowing on-site events using CUP on commercial parcels	Cynthia Murray	cmurray@northbayleadership.org
268	Will all the supervisors read the comments that are sent by 3/18?	Viviane Farre	viviane@foodandstyle.com
269	The odor issue and the security issue have always seemed to be the major ones.  I agree that those concerns should be solved.  Maybe the odor issue can be solved. That would be the problem of cultivators.  How many instances of criminal activity have happened at permitted, secured facilities? Maybe the crime that has been reported only happened at unpermitted, underground operations and could have been avoided if they were allowed to be above board?  always beenI wonder if the odor issue i	Eric Hanson	Mcmahon6262@gmail.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
270	start chatrging the hateful neighbors with the cost of unnecessary site visits over bullcrap complaints.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
271	Again, how can the comments received the same day the PC meets give them time to review the comments?	Vi Strain	vcrstrain@yahoo.com
272	Thank you!!	Joe Rogoway	joerogoway@rogowaylaw.com
273	In general the comment feed bouncing around when people liked comments was very distracting. It would be great if, for the upcoming meetings you could freeze the feed and have it remain in order of received.	Amber Morris	amber.morris@norcalcann.com
274	thanks for your hard work AG DEPT. lets refine this great together. see you all later today	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
275	Thank you everyone!	Shivawn Brady	shivawn@justicecannabisco.com
276	Thanks!	Gretchen Giles	gretchen.giles@humannaturepr.com





































#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
187	Is it true that a cannabis cultivation projects 7 day a week, 24 hour operation with security lighting and fencing, waste management and water run-off issues, water use and groundwater use, dust control, odor control, energy use and noise limits, 300 feet from my residential home is mitigated by the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impacts?	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
188	The setbacks as defined are very clear for permitting purposes, and are also generous in terms of respecting the "Not in my back yard" cohort's wishes. These are agricultural, not residential zoned properties.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
189	rr= rural residential	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
190	We have already lost forest, wetlands and riparian habitat due to ministerial permitting of vineyards. Ministerial permitting of cannabis will result in loss of more of the same. We cannot afford to loss more then we already have.	Jo Bentz	jobobs@comcast.net
191	agree	Gil L	gil@sonomavalleycannabisgroup.com
192	agree	Gil L	gil@sonomavalleycannabisgroup.com
193	Both sound studies, and odor studies, have been conducted my the very capable scientist consutants to Sonoma County, as such the CEQA declaration is appropriate as it stands with respect to sound or odor mitigation requirements.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
194	these ladies could solve world peace. lets line up one of these sounding rooms with world leaders asap. i love your style. i look fwd to the matverial progress from these comments.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
195	totally right	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
196	If a setback is just under the requirement a waiver process should allow consideration with an adequate buffer.	Craig Litwin	craig@421.group
197	Humboldt county has also done an EIR and has adopted 1000ft set backs around comunitis and neighborhoods	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
198	Why is there no pipeline clause for the setbacks? Some people listened to the original rules when they set their farms up	Jamie Ballachino	Jamie@handsintheearth.com
199	going from 1 acre to 10% is an expansion in my math	Marc Bommersbach	mbommersbach@att.net
200	There should be a variance process for parcels in RR and AR zoning classifications that may be appropriate for cottage cultivation permitting.	Joanna Cedar	jhcedar@gmail.com

# Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
<b>201</b> ag proppertties have their own water. no nimys have a right to meddle with farmers using their water on their land.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
<b>202</b> Can there be a difference between buffer zoning adjacent to rural residential enclaves and town vs. agricultural lots further away	Toby Levy	Toby@levydesignpartners.com
<b>203</b> Keep in mind that Sonoma county's 1000 ft setback already exceeds the state required 600' from sensitive uses.	Tony Linegar	tonynkrista@comcast.net
<b>204</b> Being a school board member and involved in cannabis consulting I believe the setbacks should be building to building when it comes to schools at times. I don't know what you can do about this but sometimes a MASSIVE parcel in rural Sonoma County is within (or close) to 1,000 feet of a school parcel but the actual farming site and the school are seperated by way more than 1,000 feet.	Herman G. Hernandez	h.hernandez.ms@gmail.com
<b>205</b> How do you respond to the face that only 645 acres of cannabis cultivation with just one harvest per year will use as much water per year as the City of Healdsburg - with 11,500 population and many visitor serving uses	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
<b>206</b> Can you provide specific guidelines for Net Zero Increase Groundwater plans where applicants can replace a previous water use with cannabis? What evidence is required to show previous water use? And what time frame of previous water use is considered valid evidence? Water use from a year previous? Two or three years previous to the application? How can this be included in a way that does not require discretion of the Ag Dept?	Harriet Buckwalter	hbuck@sonic.net
<b>207</b> we should be allowed to truck in water	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
<b>208</b> if mixed light is incrazed, water use will increase fi mroe than oen harvest per year. Has thsi been considered? Also, surface water affects gound water, they are connected.	Deborah Eppstein	deppstein@gmail.com
<b>209</b> If this is a ministerial process, how are cumulative water use impacts monitored?	Wendy Smit	wsmit8000@gmail.com
<b>210</b> we should be encouraged to set up water catchment systems	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
221	these nimbys only want to stop cannabis and they use every scare tactic in the book. cannabis is a ag crop and should be treated like everything else.  let famers try to feed their families and employ their staff. have some compassion for the family farmers.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
222	Regenerative cultivation practices greatly reduce water usage by up to 200% if grown in the ground versus growing in pots.	Sica Roman	sica@sonic.net
223	Will the county put self-reporting meters at grow sites? How is the monitoring going to realistic?	Gregory Koss	gkspam@gregkoss.com
224	the state ready regulates water use for cannabis	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
225	Encourage water catchment! Ponds, swales, and catch basins.	Craig Litwin	craig@421.group
226	Maybe you could only allow one crop per year	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
227	NOAA has sent letters to 2018 and 20121 stated Groundwater pumping will impact aquifers and stream flow - Fish and Wildlife is clear that that zone 3 and 4 and impaired watershed should be off limits	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
228	trucked water is good for everyone. why disallow it?	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
229	Comparing cannabis to grapes Cannabis can be dry farmed or irrigated, and does not need water for frost protection or post processing. 2:1 is a ratio. Depending on the type of grape grown and for what use (table grape, wine grape, etc) the water use for cannabis cultivation can be significantly less. Plus, cannabis requires a much smaller cultivation footprint than grapes for the same financial return.	Ron Ferraro	Ron@elyoncannabis.com
230	So maybe zero water use? Vineyards are going to dry farming, can cannabis?	Elizabeth Lawson	elsbethlawson@comcast.net
231	Dry farming is a great idea!	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
232	Require stream depletion evaluation if within 500ft, similar to how the County required water use assessments if in a low water area	Andrew Longman	andrew.longman@421group.com
233	The county should leave the regulation of groundwater to the agencies with primary authority as they have with Winegrapes	Tony Linegar	tonynkrista@comcast.net



#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
247	most cannabis properties are zone 3 or 4. water is variable not always low. zone 1 and 2 are salamander habit or next to homes. zones 3&4 must be allowed	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
248	cannabis haters suggest farming cannabis with no water. how about cultivating cannabis with no sun? how can we take these folks seriously. they are in the MINORITY.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
249	I understand that permitting is 5 years right now.	Rachel Zierdt	rzierdt@gmail.com
250	Who is enforcing in these cases?	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
251	How does the proposal address when its requirements are violated?	Gregory Koss	gkspam@gregkoss.com
252	It would be better to start with yearly review, until the county knows what is working...why should there not be a trial time?	Toby Levy	Toby@levydesignpartners.com
253	5-year permits with annual review makes sense.	Lauren Mendelsohn	Lauren@omarfigueroa.com
254	5 years is more reasonable. it takes 4 years to get a permit thru this system	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
255	PErmits should be for oen year, renewable if no unresolved compalints	Deborah Eppstein	deppstein@gmail.com
256	If the permit is issued the first time, nothing is changing, annual inspections, and it's ministerial. What's the point of reapplying?	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
257	Cannabis and Winery Event public safety concerns: Sonoma County's DUI rate is twice the State average - so canna tourism should be city centered	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
258	Dry farming would only be viable in limited areas. It is ok to use water if we do it responsibly.	Sica Roman	sica@sonic.net
259	This makes complete sense in terms of best utilizing county resources and also in helping operators to better manage their cultivation operations. The annual inspections process is very thourough and can be utilized to address operators who are not in compliance.	Lori Pascarella	lori@bangodistribution.com
260	So if this is ag and ministerial and neighbors are not informed, how will they be able to comment? Once the permit is approved, they have to put up with this for 5 years? A trial time might be better to see how things are working.	Jane Marra	jane.marra@yahoo.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
261	The best solution for Andrew Smith and his department is to keep the process at PRMD. How will he provide personnel to do reviews and inspections. His department doesn't have enough people to follow VESCO and new vineyards and vineyard replants.	Wendy Smit	wsmit8000@gmail.com
262	After five years, at renewal, a sensitive use that came in during the permitted cannabis use should not by itself preclude that renewal. Is this being addressed?	Craig Litwin	craig@421.group
263	I have been in the cup process for four years .it should be higher than 5	Vincent Scholten	Norcalgrowers@hotmail.com
264	I have to get a new license to make jam every year why should it be less restrictive for growing cannabis which uses far more resources	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
265	people need to plan and build their farms. with the uncertainty it is difficult to plan and build the cannabis buisness. dont give these cannabis haters more abilities to bully cannabis operators.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
266	If the state licenses on an annual basis why is the County considering a five year permits	Marc Bommersbach	mbommersbach@att.net
267	Absolutely should be five years. Same as vineyard and orchards, 5 years	Natasha Khallouf	Nkhalouf@yahoo.com
268	If you are investing in buildings and equipment, you should be allowed at least five years to use them.	Lisa Lai	allcalilisa@gmail.com
269	I need more documentation for a house generator permit than what I see AG is requiring for grows.	Rachel Zierdt	rzierdt@gmail.com
270	Do not continue to say "cannabis haters" or "hateful neighbors" - every property owner has rights to the peaceful enjoyment of their property	Judith Olney	MilestonesMet@gmail.com
271	A grower cannot buid a viable business plan for a one year permit that takes years to be awarded. The investment is huge; and anyone can make complaints so the review needs to consider validity of complaints.	Dennis Rosatti	drosatti@yahoo.com
272	'@ veva there is a spectrum of what is required to get or re-aquire a permit. The permit process for cannabis is complex, costly and not very similar to getting the jamm liscence renewed.	Yarrow Kubrin	yarrowkubrin.2013@gmail.com
273	totally right	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
274	yes	Gil L	gil@sonomavalleycannabisgroup.com

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
275	Then stop reading posts calling people NIMBYs and haters without calling them out for it	james bracco	jim@sosneighborhoods.com
276	The wine industry makes investments in wineries but they have to get a conditional use permit	Marc Bommersbach	mbommersbach@att.net
277	if you meeting the standards you will be given an new license. If complaints are a problem maybe nighborhood comaptabilty should be addressed	veva edelson	veva.edelson@gmail.com
278	what is wrong with calling out a NIMBY?	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
279	My own experience is that vineyards are hidden in beautiful wild lands that are quickly disappearing in our county. We made the mistake years ago of letting vineyard development become a ministerial process. I don't hate cannabis, but I do hate rampant conversion of our county to parcelized high security hoop houses or greenhouses.	Wendy Smit	wsmit8000@gmail.com
280	Propagation should not have a square foot limit. The state doesn't have one. The county should align with the state.	Joanna Cedar	jhcedar@gmail.com
281	NOT IN MY BACK YARD is not a swear word or anything. thats who you are. own uop to it. if its so bad stop being hateful and stop bullyiong your neighbors please kind sir.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
282	Is there any limit to size of gatherings?	Toby Levy	Toby@levydesignpartners.com
283	'm against all the proposed changes.	Sara Peyton	sara.peyton@gmail.com
284	Cannabis allows for opportunities to diversify local agricultural crops. A farmer who can grow 10% of their property in cannabis is able to fund the entire vineyard management fee of the other 90% of their property, or install an orchard, raise animals, or other crops. Allowing for incredible income diversification and a chance to supplement farms in times of economic shortages is good policy.	Yoel Chetrit	yoel@elyoncannabis.com
285	How is the County going to improve the roads to handle additional traffic? Will there be additional fees heavy vehicle traffic on small rural road?	Elizabeth Lawson	elsbethlawson@comcast.net
286	Why are you allowing an industrial process to extract THC oil, with no oversithgt, no use permit, no ban on flammable solvents? Currently this is only allowed in indistrial zones, and flammable solvents are prohibited. It needs to be kept that way	Deborah Eppstein	deppstein@gmail.com









#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
329	give jamie ballacino his farm.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
330	no inspections with bullies from PRMD like todd hoffman.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
331	I grow 500+ lavender plants. When a neighbor over 1 mile away was growing cannabis illegally all I could smell was the skunky cannabis odor. Is there any proven way to truly mitigate that odor?	Joan Conway	joanc358@gmail.com
332	treat cannabis farmers like human beings not violent criminals with zero-day raids with jerks like todd hoffman from prmd. have some compassion.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com
333	Thank you!	Elizabeth Lawson	elsbethlawson@comcast.net
334	God Bless Andrew and the Ag Dept. NIMBY's and PRMD enforcement are bullies. defund the PRMD enforcement.	J 7	john7777777777777777@yahoo.com