Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2022

2008 - 2022 Comparison

Δ =	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE: 2021 v 2022
x̄ =	AVERAGE 2008 TO 2021
MAX =	HIGHEST PERCENTAGE '2008 - 2022'
MIN =	LOWEST PERCENTAGE '2008 - 2022'

Right direction or wrong track

Generally, do you think that Escambia county is heading in the right direction, or are things on the wrong track?

Things in Escambia county

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
RIGHT DIRECTION	22%	35%	45%	47%	52%	58%	55%	61%	51%	57%	60%	63%	63%	52%	**51%**	-1%	54%	63%	22%
WRONG TRACK	54%	48%	36%	35%	39%	33%	33%	28%	35%	29%	26%	23%	27%	36%	**35%**	-1%	33%	54%	23%
NOT SURE	24%	18%	19%	19%	10%	9%	13%	11%	14%	14%	14%	14%	11%	12%	**13%**	+2%	14%	24%	9%

And generally, do you think that the city of Pensacola is heading in the right direction, or are things on the wrong track?

Things in city of Pensacola

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
RIGHT DIRECTION	27%	30%	47%	51%	54%	61%	63%	70%	45%	57%	63%	63%	64%	55%	**63.8%**	+9%	56%	70%	27%
WRONG TRACK	52%	47%	36%	29%	29%	30%	29%	21%	43%	27%	24%	21%	24%	28%	**21.1%**	-7%	30%	52%	21%
NOT SURE	25%	24%	17%	20%	17%	9%	9%	8%	12%	15%	13%	16%	12%	18%	**15.1%**	-3%	15%	25%	8%

JOB PERFORMANCE: MAYOR   HTON HAYWARD   ...   GROVER ROBINSON

	2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	11%		11%		14%		14%		17%		6%		12%		11%		10%		11%		11%		**11.5%**					
GOOD	40%	51%	42%	53%	39%	53%	41%	55%	50%	66%	28%	34%	41%	53%	34%	45%	43%	53%	45%	56%	42%	53%	**43.8%**	55.3%	+2%	52%	66%	34%
ONLY FAIR	20%		22%		28%		27%		20%		29%		28%		34%		24%		24%		30%		**24.3%**					
POOR	3%	23%	5%	27%	7%	36%	8%	35%	6%	26%	23%	52%	11%	39%	12%	46%	4%	28%	9%	34%	10%	40%	**6.6%**	30.9%	-9%	35%	52%	23%
DK	27%		21%		12%		10%		8%		14%		9%		4%		19%		10%		7%		**13.9%**					

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	1%		2%		1%		1%		4%		4%		3%		4%		**5**					
GOOD	31%	32%	37%	39%	40%	42%	33%	34%	35%	39%	35%	39%	32%	36%	29%	33%	**32**	37%	+4%	37%	42%	32%
FAIR	42%		43%		41%		40%		42%		40%		39%		39%		**32**					
POOR	21%	63%	14%	57%	14%	55%	12%	52%	17%	59%	11%	51%	18%	57%	19%	58%	**19**	51%	-7%	57%	63%	51%
DK	5%		4%		3%		14%		3%		10%		7%		8%		**12**					

PENSACOLA CITY COUNCIL - CITY VOTERS ONLY

	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	3%		3%		2%		1%		2%		3%		3%		5%		**4**					
GOOD	25%	28%	34%	37%	25%	27%	33%	34%	35%	37%	36%	39%	32%	35%	33%	37%	**36**	40%	+3%	34%	40%	27%
FAIR	38%		36%		44%		40%		42%		35%		34%		39%		**27**					
POOR	6%	44%	7%	43%	16%	60%	12%	52%	22%	64%	6%	41%	15%	49%	15%	54%	**14**	41%	-13%	51%	64%	41%
DK	29%		20%		13%		14%		0%		20%		16%		9%		**19**					

SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT MALCOLM THOMAS   ...   **SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT TIMOTHY SMITH**

	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	19%		19%		16%		18%		22%		15%		17%		6%		**5**					
GOOD	37%	56%	40%	60%	44%	61%	34%	52%	33%	55%	39%	54%	30%	35%	35%	41%	**31**	36%	-5%	52%	61%	35%
FAIR	22%		21%		20%		25%		23%		21%		27%		31%		**21**					
POOR	12%	34%	10%	32%	14%	33%	16%	41%	16%	39%	13%	35%	16%	49%	13%	44%	**19**	39%	-5%	38%	49%	32%
DK	10%		9%		7%		7%		7%		12%		10%		16%		**25**					

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2022

JOB PERFORMANCE: SCHOOL BOARD

	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	6%		4%		6%		7%		6%		5%		6%		7%		5					
GOOD	40%	46%	44%	48%	39%	45%	32%	39%	43%	49%	43%	48%	37%	43%	30%	36%	33	37%	1%	44%	49%	36%
FAIR	33%		33%		33%		32%		30%		28%		33%		38%		28					
POOR	10%	42%	10%	43%	14%	47%	17%	49%	17%	47%	13%	41%	14%	48%	17%	55%	21	50%	-5%	47%	55%	41%
DK	12%		9%		8%		12%		5%		12%		9%		9%		13					

How would you rate economic conditions in Escambia county?

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	1%		0%		0%		0%		1%		3%		3%		3%		2%		0.4%		4%		2%		2%		2%		3					
GOOD	13%	14%	10%	10%	19%	19%	18%	18%	19%	20%	19%	22%	31%	34%	31%	34%	27%	28%	24%	25%	33%	37%	33%	35%	33%	35%	34%	36%	27	30%	-6%	26%	37%	37%
ONLY FAIR	43%		50%		43%		50%		47%		50%		45%		46%		54%		56%		41%		46%		49%		45%		46					
POOR	43%	86%	35%	85%	38%	81%	32%	82%	32%	79%	28%	77%	20%	65%	19%	65%	18%	72%	16%	72%	21%	62%	19%	65%	16%	65%	17%	62%	21	67%	+5%	73%	86%	86%
DK	0%		5%		1%		0.4%		1%		1%		1%		1%		0%		4%		2%		1%		0		2%		3					

Five years from now, do you think that the economy in Escambia county will be better off, worse off, or about the same as it is today?

**Reasons for saying worse in separate sheet

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
BETTER	30%	33%	33%	39%	34%	23%	28%	40%	32%	33%	43%	36%	35%	21%	30%	9%	33%	43%	21%
WORSE	22%	15%	19%	15%	21%	14%	12%	12%	13%	11%	7%	10%	27%	22%	16%	-6%	15%	27%	7%
SAME	40%	46%	40%	45%	42%	58%	54%	45%	54%	54%	44%	51%	35%	52%	46%	-6%	47%	58%	35%
NOT SURE	8%	7%	8%	1%	3%	5%	6%	4%	2%	2%	7%	3%	3%	6%	8%				

How concerned are you about the security and future of your own job, or the jobs of any close family members in Escambia county?

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
VERY CONCERNED	33%		39%		43%		35%		41%		31%		31%		26%		25%		24%		24%		21%		23%		20%		17					
SW CONCERNED	26%	58%	27%	66%	23%	66%	26%	61%	29%	70%	38%	69%	30%	61%	34%	60%	28%	53%	39%	62%	24%	48%	31%	52%	28%	51%	29%	49%	27	44%	-5%	59%	70%	44%
NOT TOO CONCERNED	18%		19%		14%		14%		16%		18%		26%		29%		27%		22%		28%		21%		24%		23%		23					
NOT CONCERNED	20%	38%	15%	34%	13%	28%	19%	33%	10%	26%	10%	28%	10%	36%	9%	38%	16%	42%	12%	34%	21%	48%	22%	43%	22%	46%	24%	46%	29	52%	+6%	37%	52%	26%
NOT SURE	4%		1%		6%		7%		4%		3%		3%		2%		5%		4%		4%		5%		3%		5%		4					

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2022

Rating the Quality of Life Factors
he quality of life in a community. Please tell me how you rate each in Escambia County, excellent, good, only fair, or poor. [ROTATE ORDER]

Quality of the public schools

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	1%		3%		4%		0.4%		0%		5%		6%		3%		3%		4%		8%		5%		5%		4%		6					
GOOD	27%	27%	27%	30%	36%	40%	36%	37%	36%	36%	27%	32%	23%	29%	31%	34%	37%	40%	25%	30%	32%	40%	33%	38%	29%	34%	29%	33%	30	36%	4%	34%	40%	27%
ONLY FAIR	36%		45%		35%		42%		42%		41%		46%		51%		37%		43%		32%		44%		45%		39%		37					
POOR	31%	67%	20%	65%	19%	54%	20%	62%	21%	63%	23%	64%	22%	68%	14%	64%	19%	56%	23%	66%	28%	60%	18%	62%	21%	66%	26%	65%	24	61%	-4%	63%	68%	54%
DK	6%		5%		6%		1%		1%		4%		3%		2%		4%		4%		0%		1%		1%		2%		4					

The availability of cultural opportunities, such as theater, museums, and music

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	7%		9%		9%		11%		10%		11%		12%		13%		15%		17%		18%		14%		7%		12%		10					
GOOD	33%	40%	38%	47%	30%	39%	38%	49%	37%	47%	40%	51%	33%	45%	47%	60%	33%	48%	44%	60%	39%	57%	46%	60%	44%	51%	39%	50%	46	56%	6%	50%	60%	39%
ONLY FAIR	45%		40%		37%		39%		40%		33%		40%		29%		43%		28%		29%		27%		37%		33%		29					
POOR	15%	60%	13%	53%	22%	59%	12%	51%	13%	53%	14%	47%	13%	53%	11%	39%	9%	51%	10%	39%	12%	41%	12%	40%	12%	49%	14%	48%	13	42%	-6%	49%	60%	39%
DK	1%		1%		3%		0.4%		0%		2%		2%		1%		0.40%		1%		3%		0%		1%		2%		2					

Offering job opportunities in your field

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	5%		1%		1%		0.6%		1%		5%		7%		3%		2%		4%		8%		8%		5%		7%		11					
GOOD	15%	20%	12%	13%	17%	18%	21%	21%	22%	24%	22%	26%	16%	23%	23%	26%	27%	29%	30%	34%	29%	36%	29%	37%	36%	41%	32%	39%	35	46%	7%	28%	46%	13%
ONLY FAIR	32%		32%		35%		32%		32%		33%		38%		40%		35%		32%		32%		29%		29%		33%		33					
POOR	39%	71%	47%	79%	37%	72%	36%	68%	35%	67%	33%	67%	33%	71%	29%	68%	30%	65%	28%	57%	23%	55%	19%	53%	24%	53%	17%	50%	16	49%	-1%	64%	79%	49%
DK	10%		9%		11%		11%		10%		7%		7%		6%		6%		9%		9%		10%		7%		11%		5					

Having a vibrant nightlife with clubs, bars, restaurants etc.

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	7%		5%		9%		13%		12%		15%		17%		17%		14%		13%		16%		19%		11%		14%		12					
GOOD	27%	33%	36%	41%	33%	43%	39%	52%	38%	51%	39%	54%	33%	50%	44%	62%	36%	50%	54%	66%	41%	57%	44%	63%	43%	54%	43%	57%	46	58%	1%	52%	66%	33%
ONLY FAIR	33%		36%		23%		22%		23%		24%		29%		25%		29%		17%		24%		25%		29%		22%		26					
POOR	12%	45%	9%	45%	17%	41%	11%	33%	13%	35%	11%	36%	11%	40%	6%	32%	7%	36%	9%	26%	9%	33%	5%	29%	8%	37%	10%	32%	12	38%	6%	36%	45%	26%
DK	21%		14%		17%		15%		14%		10%		10%		7%		14%		8%		10%		8%		9%		11%		5					

Availability of affordable housing

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	7%		6%		7%		5%		5%		8%		8%		5%		2%		4%		5%		4%		5%		4%		4					
GOOD	27%	34%	27%	40%	27%	34%	40%	45%	41%	46%	36%	44%	29%	37%	32%	37%	32%	34%	32%	38%	27%	33%	27%	31%	25%	31%	16%	20%	8	12%	-8%	36%	46%	12%
ONLY FAIR	32%		35%		37%		40%		38%		37%		44%		43%		41%		33%		44%		39%		36%		33%		28					
POOR	33%	65%	19%	54%	24%	62%	14%	54%	14%	52%	15%	52%	16%	60%	17%	60%	24%	65%	26%	59%	20%	64%	25%	64%	30%	66%	45%	78%	58	86%	8%	61%	86%	52%
DK	1%		6%		5%		1%		2%		4%		4%		3%		2%		3%		4%		5%		3%		2%		3					

Public transportation

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	0%		0%		6%		0.5%		1%		3%		5%		5%		4%		3%		5%		6%		2%		3%		6					
GOOD	19%	19%	20%	20%	24%	30%	31%	32%	31%	32%	19%	22%	16%	22%	23%	28%	29%	33%	29%	32%	24%	29%	24%	29%	25%	27%	15%	19%	20	26%	7%	27%	33%	19%
ONLY FAIR	31%		31%		34%		25%		27%		36%		38%		36%		36%		35%		31%		34%		31%		29%		27					
POOR	42%	73%	35%	66%	27%	61%	27%	52%	26%	53%	31%	66%	31%	69%	28%	64%	25%	61%	27%	62%	33%	63%	29%	63%	33%	64%	41%	70%	33	60%	-10%	63%	73%	52%
DK	8%		14%		9%		16%		15%		12%		10%		8%		6%		6%		8%		7%		9%		11%		13					

Public safety and low crime

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	3%		5%		1%		5%		5%		4%		4%		4%		4%		4%		6%		4%		3%		4%		3					
GOOD	25%	28%	32%	37%	31%	32%	29%	34%	29%	33%	22%	26%	18%	22%	29%	33%	32%	36%	27%	31%	24%	29%	26%	30%	25%	31%	27%	31%	25	28%	-3%	31%	37%	22%
ONLY FAIR	45%		44%		39%		37%		37%		39%		43%		43%		35%		46%		40%		46%		36%		39%		34					
POOR	27%	72%	20%	64%	29%	68%	29%	66%	28%	65%	35%	73%	34%	77%	24%	67%	29%	64%	23%	69%	29%	69%	24%	70%	26%	62%	29%	68%	37	71%	3%	68%	77%	62%
DK	0%		0%		0.4%		0.3%		2%		1%		1%		0%		1%		2%		1%		1%		1%		1%		1					

Being able to get from place to place with little traffic

	2008	∑	2009	∑	2010	∑	2011	∑	2012	∑	2013	∑	2014	∑	2015	∑	2016	∑	2017	∑	2018	∑	2019	∑	2020	∑	2021	∑	2022	∑	Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	4%		7%		6%		4%		4%		8%		8%		4%		3%		1%		5%		5%		4%		8%		4					
GOOD	24%	28%	20%	27%	34%	40%	29%	33%	29%	33%	31%	39%	24%	32%	29%	33%	27%	31%	28%	29%	23%	28%	31%	33%	28%	33%	21%	25%	21	25%	-12%	33%	40%	25%
ONLY FAIR	33%		34%		40%		40%		39%		36%		43%		39%		34%		34%		37%		31%		31%		28%		37					
POOR	39%	72%	39%	73%	20%	60%	27%	67%	28%	67%	23%	60%	24%	67%	28%	66%	35%	69%	36%	70%	33%	70%	36%	67%	35%	66%	35%	63%	37	74%	11%	67%	74%	60%
DK	1%		0%		1%		0.6%		0%		1%		1%		0%		0.40%		0%		2%		0%		2%		0%		1					

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2022

Rating the Quality of Life Factors (continued)

Availability of quality healthcare

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	14%		16%		16%		23%		22%		18%		19%		14%		16%		12%		19%		18%		15%		13%		9					
GOOD	41%	55%	49%	65%	39%	55%	46%	69%	45%	67%	49%	66%	41%	59%	53%	66%	38%	53%	43%	55%	46%	66%	50%	68%	43%	58%	49%	62%	51	60%	-2%	62%	69%	53%
ONLY FAIR	26%		18%		26%		21%		21%		19%		27%		22%		32%		24%		24%		24%		27%		21%		28					
POOR	19%	44%	13%	31%	18%	44%	10%	31%	11%	32%	13%	32%	13%	39%	11%	33%	15%	46%	17%	41%	8%	32%	8%	32%	13%	40%	17%	38%	10	38%	0%	37%	46%	31%
DK	1%		4%		1%		0.6%		1%		2%		2%		1%		0.60%		5%		2%		0%		2%		0%		2					

The availability of outdoor parks, playgrounds, and beaches

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	20%		34%		30%		25%		26%		34%		35%		40%		32%		43%		35%		39%		39%		22%		17					
GOOD	47%	67%	43%	77%	38%	68%	48%	74%	49%	74%	46%	80%	35%	70%	46%	87%	43%	75%	31%	74%	44%	79%	41%	80%	40%	78%	51%	72%	46	63%	-9%	75%	87%	63%
ONLY FAIR	25%		17%		20%		18%		18%		16%		26%		8%		16%		18%		16%		16%		16%		19%		24					
POOR	8%	33%	6%	23%	12%	32%	9%	27%	8%	26%	4%	20%	4%	30%	4%	13%	8%	24%	8%	26%	5%	21%	4%	20%	5%	21%	9%	28%	11	35%	7%	24%	35%	13%
DK	0		0%		1%		0.0%		0%		0%		1%		1%		0.90%		1%		0%		1%		1%		0%		1					

Welcoming and inviting to people of diverse backgrounds, ethnicity and culture

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	8%		7%		9%		13%		13%		14%		15%		25%		16%		14%		15%		18%		18%		16%		9					
GOOD	35%	43%	43%	50%	43%	52%	43%	56%	44%	57%	44%	58%	34%	48%	43%	68%	39%	55%	40%	63%	40%	54%	44%	61%	43%	61%	37%	53%	39	49%	-4%	56%	68%	43%
ONLY FAIR	29%		31%		30%		28%		27%		30%		40%		21%		33%		22%		28%		26%		25%		30%		33					
POOR	23%	51%	12%	43%	11%	41%	9%	37%	9%	37%	10%	39%	10%	50%	10%	31%	9%	41%	11%	33%	14%	42%	13%	38%	13%	38%	16%	46%	15	49%	3%	41%	51%	31%
DK	6%		8%		7%		7%		6%		3%		2%		1%		4%		4%		3%		1%		1%		1%		4					

Rating the Quality of Life Factors (continued)

Effective leadership from elected officials

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	3%		0%		0.1%		0.4%		1%		3%		3%		3%		1%		4%		2%		4%		3%		3%		4					
GOOD	13%	16%	22%	22%	27%	27%	27%	27%	29%	30%	19%	22%	17%	21%	31%	34%	29%	30%	29%	33%	33%	35%	37%	42%	35%	38%	29%	32%	31	35%	3%	29%	42%	16%
ONLY FAIR	36%		38%		46%		44%		43%		43%		46%		48%		43%		38%		36%		40%		40%		39%		41					
POOR	48%	84%	39%	77%	27%	72%	28%	72%	26%	69%	32%	75%	31%	77%	17%	65%	23%	67%	24%	62%	27%	63%	14%	54%	21%	61%	26%	66%	18	59%	-7%	69%	84%	54%
DK	1%		1%		1%		0.8%		1%		3%		3%		1%		3%		5%		2%		4%		2%		3%		6					

A shared vision and effective plans for economic development and job growth

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	0%		0%		0.3%		0.3%		0%		2%		3%		3%		3%		3%		5%		5%		3%		5%		6					
GOOD	9%	9%	12%	12%	18%	18%	17%	17%	18%	18%	15%	17%	14%	16%	31%	34%	22%	25%	26%	28%	25%	30%	27%	32%	32%	35%	20%	26%	32	38%	12%	23%	38%	9%
ONLY FAIR	33%		32%		44%		45%		44%		45%		47%		47%		47%		44%		39%		45%		45%		43%		40					
POOR	53%	86%	50%	82%	33%	77%	38%	82%	37%	81%	36%	81%	35%	82%	19%	66%	25%	72%	26%	70%	26%	65%	15%	60%	18%	63%	26%	68%	19	59%	-9%	74%	86%	59%
DK	6%		6%		5%		0.9%		1%		2%		2%		1%		3%		2%		5%		8%		3%		6%		3					

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2022

Rating Escambia as a place to live

Now please rate Escambia County as a place to live for the following types of people [ROTATE ORDER]

Recent college grads looking for jobs

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	0%		0%		0.3%		0.1%		0%		1%		1%		1%		3%		0%		7%		1%		1%		4%		6					
GOOD	9%	9%	8%	8%	13%	13%	14%	14%	14%	14%	7%	8%	6%	7%	15%	16%	13%	17%	19%	20%	19%	26%	22%	22%	25%	26%	26%	30%	27	33%	3%	16%	33%	7%
ONLY FAIR	31%		28%		33%		29%		30%		44%		44%		50%		46%		44%		42%		47%		40%		39%		40					
POOR	59%	90%	64%	92%	54%	86%	57%	86%	55%	86%	47%	92%	47%	92%	33%	83%	36%	82%	37%	80%	31%	72%	29%	75%	31%	71%	27%	66%	26	66%	0%	82%	92%	66%
DK	1%		1%		0.3%		0.1%		0%		1%		1%		1%		2%		0%		2%		3%		3%		5%		2					

Young Single People

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	1%		0%		1%		0.1%		0%		5%		5%		4%		5%		2%		7%		5%		6%		5%		11					
GOOD	30%	30%	14%	14%	22%	23%	23%	23%	22%	23%	24%	28%	18%	23%	24%	28%	29%	34%	34%	36%	28%	33%	28%	33%	33%	39%	35%	41%	32	43%	3%	29%	43%	14%
ONLY FAIR	35%		43%		35%		35%		35%		41%		46%		47%		40%		36%		39%		46%		38%		35%		37					
POOR	29%	64%	42%	85%	42%	77%	41%	76%	42%	77%	29%	70%	30%	75%	24%	71%	25%	64%	27%	64%	25%	64%	19%	64%	20%	58%	22%	56%	18	55%	-1%	69%	85%	55%
DK	6%		1%		1%		0.8%		1%		2%		2%		1%		2%		0%		3%		3%		3%		3%		3					

Families with children

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	9%		6%		6%		0.9%		1%		10%		10%		9%		7%		6%		10%		10%		14%		12%		12					
GOOD	43%	52%	36%	42%	42%	49%	44%	45%	43%	44%	33%	43%	26%	36%	51%	60%	45%	53%	46%	52%	44%	54%	44%	54%	44%	57%	36%	48%	33	45%	-3%	49%	60%	36%
ONLY FAIR	34%		37%		28%		42%		41%		40%		47%		31%		32%		33%		37%		36%		33%		40%		36					
POOR	14%	48%	22%	59%	23%	51%	13%	55%	15%	56%	16%	56%	16%	63%	9%	40%	13%	45%	14%	46%	8%	46%	10%	46%	9%	42%	11%	51%	16	52%	1%	50%	63%	40%
DK	0%		0%		0.3%		0.4%		0%		1%		1%		<1%		3%		2%		1%		1%		1%		1%		3					

Retirees

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	28%		23%		27%		24%		23%		26%		26%		28%		26%		27%		33%		34%		28%		36%		23					
GOOD	44%	72%	58%	81%	47%	74%	58%	82%	56%	79%	52%	80%	43%	69%	45%	73%	45%	71%	46%	79%	46%	79%	50%	84%	50%	78%	41%	77%	39	62%	-15%	77%	84%	62%
ONLY FAIR	19%		11%		15%		18%		20%		16%		25%		24%		19%		16%		17%		15%		17%		18%		23					
POOR	8%	27%	8%	19%	11%	26%	0.8%	18%	2%	21%	4%	20%	4%	29%	3%	26%	9%	28%	5%	21%	3%	20%	1%	16%	6%	22%	5%	23%	10	33%	10%	23%	33%	16%
DK	0%		0%		0.1%		0.0%				2%		1%		1%		1%		1%		0%		0%				0%		5					

Racial & ethnic minorities

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	5%		0%		6%		5%		6%		9%		9%		7%		5%		2%		11%		7%		9%		9%		10					
GOOD	34%	39%	46%	46%	36%	42%	46%	50%	47%	53%	42%	51%	33%	42%	41%	47%	33%	38%	35%	42%	35%	46%	39%	46%	37%	46.0%	37%	45.5%	28	38%	-8%	45%	53%	38%
ONLY FAIR	39%		33%		35%		30%		29%		33%		42%		38%		44%		38%		30%		32%		34%		26%		36					
POOR	17%	56%	16%	49%	16%	52%	10%	40%	10%	39%	11%	44%	12%	54%	13%	51%	16%	60%	18%	56%	19%	49%	18%	50%	16%	50%	25%	51%	24	60%	9%	50%	60%	39%
DK	6%		5%		6%		10%		9%		5%		4%		2%		2%		3%		5%		4%		4%		4%		3					

Immigrants from other countries

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	7%		0%		6%		0.6%		1%		5%		6%		5%		3%		3%		8%		6%		7%		7%		6					
GOOD	25%	32%	34%	34%	33%	38%	40%	41%	41%	42%	36%	40%	30%	36%	34%	39%	26%	29%	33%	36%	32%	40%	33%	39%	34%	41%	34%	40%	22	28%	-12%	38%	42%	28%
ONLY FAIR	34%		34%		31%		34%		34%		39%		45%		42%		46%		35%		33%		30%		37%		30%		36					
POOR	20%	54%	20%	54%	21%	51%	11%	45%	11%	44%	15%	54%	15%	59%	15%	57%	20%	66%	23%	58%	22%	55%	23%	53%	18%	55%	24%	53%	27	63%	10%	54%	66%	44%
DK	14%		12%		11%		14%		14%		6%		5%		4%		5%		6%		6%		8%		4%		7%		10					

Rating Escambia as a place to live (continued)

Entrepreneurs & new businesses

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	5%		6%		4%		0.3%		0%		6%		6%		6%		8%		6%		12%		11%		8%		8%		12					
GOOD	25%	30%	27%	33%	29%	33%	20%	21%	22%	22%	23%	29%	20%	26%	38%	44%	28%	36%	39%	45%	34%	45%	40%	50%	37%	45%	37%	45%	39	51%	6%	36%	51%	21%
ONLY FAIR	39%		32%		31%		48%		47%		40%		45%		36%		47%		43%		39%		33%		35%		42%		31					
POOR	26%	65%	30%	62%	32%	63%	30%	78%	29%	75%	28%	68%	27%	72%	18%	54%	13%	60%	11%	54%	13%	53%	14%	47%	18%	53%	11%	53%	14	45%	-8%	61%	78%	45%
DK	6%		5%		4%		1%		2%		2%		2%		2%		4%		1%		2%		3%		2%		2%		4					

People living below poverty line

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	1%		1%		0.4%		1%		1%		4%		4%		4%		2%		3%		1%		0%		4%		2%		2					
GOOD	9%	10%	15%	16%	17%	17%	19%	20%	18%	19%	17%	21%	13%	17%	11%	15%	12%	14%	12%	16%	17%	18%	14%	15%	14%	18%	11%	13%	9	11%	-2%	16%	21%	10%
ONLY FAIR	34%		42%		30%		34%		35%		33%		37%		43%		41%		33%		32%		31%		34%		28%		25					
POOR	47%	81%	40%	82%	45%	76%	35%	68%	35%	70%	41%	74%	41%	78%	39%	82%	43%	84%	47%	79%	45%	76%	49%	80%	45%	78%	53%	81%	58	83%	2%	78%	84%	68%
DK	10%		3%		7%		12%		11%		5%		4%		3%		2%		5%		6%		6%		4%		6%		5					

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2022

Rating Over All Quality of Life

How would you rate the over all quality of life in Escambia county?

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
EXCELLENT	7%		8%		9%		5%		4%		8%		8%		14%		13%		6%		10%		12%		10%		13%		13					
GOOD	44%	51%	49%	57%	48%	57%	43%	48%	42%	47%	51%	59%	44%	52%	57%	71%	53%	66%	56%	62%	53%	63%	48%	60%	56%	66%	52%	65%	50	63%	-2%	59%	71%	47%
ONLY FAIR	39%		35%		35%		47%		45%		36%		44%		27%		27%		35%		32%		36%		29%		30%		31					
POOR	10%	49%	8%	43%	9%	44%	5%	52%	7%	52%	5%	41%	5%	48%	2%	29%	7%	34%	3%	38%	5%	37%	4%	40%	5%	34%	5%	35%	6	37%	2%	41%	52%	29%
DK	0%		0%		0%		0%		1%		0%		0.3%		1%				0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0					

Over the next 5 years do you think that the quality of life in Escambia county will improve, deteriorate or stay about the same?

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022		Δ	x̄	MAX	MIN
IMPROVE	32%	30%	34%	39%	40%	29%	25%	36%	29%	31%	38%	36%	36%	26%	37%		11%	33%	40%	25%
DETERIORATE	15%	12%	15%	6%	5%	8%	8%	8%	13%	7%	7%	7%	14%	13%	15%		2%	10%	15%	5%
STAY THE SAME	53%	57%	51%	56%	55%	61%	64%	55%	59%	62%	53%	57%	49%	57%	47%		-10%	56%	64%	47%
NOT SURE	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%					

HOW LIKELY TO MOVE IN THE NEXT 5 YEARS?

	2021		2022		Δ	x̄
VERY LIKELY	20%		17%			
SOMWHAT LIKELY	19%	39%	16%	33%	-6%	36%
NOT TOO LIKELY	19%		25%			
NOT AT ALL LIKELY	40%	59%	42%	67%	8%	63%
DK	1%		1%			

~RACE RELATIONS IN PENSACOLA (WHITE)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	46%	43%	47%	4%	45%
Total Negative	52%	55%	48%	-7%	51%
DK	3%	3%	5%		

~RACE RELATIONS IN PENSACOLA (BLACK)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	24%	28%	25%	-3%	26%
Total Negative	75%	70%	73%	3%	72%
DK	1%	2%	2%		

~RACE RELATIONS IN ESCAMBIA (WHITE)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	42.60%	35%	48%	13%	42%
Total Negative	55.30%	64%	49%	-15%	56%
DK	2.1%	2%	3%		

~RACE RELATIONS IN ESCAMBIA (BLACK)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	27.8%	13.1%	22.7%	10%	21%
Total Negative	71.3%	83.0%	75.8%	-7%	77%
DK	1%	3.9%	1.5%		

~DOES SYSTEMATIC RACISM EXIST IN ESCAMBIA (WHITE)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
DOES	56.9%	42.3%	55.6%	13%	52%
DOES NOT	34.0%	49.0%	36.6%	-12%	40%
NOT SURE	10%	8.30%	7.80%		

~DOES SYSTEMATIC RACISM EXIST IN ESCAMBIA (BLACK)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
DOES	82.3%	83.0%	82.3%	-1%	83%
DOES NOT	13.2%	13.0%	13.5%	1%	13%
NOT SURE	5%	3.6%	4.2%		

~RACE RELATIONS WITH POLICE IN PENSACOLA (WHITE)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	29%	34.80%	29%	-6%	31%
Total Negative	62.20%	52.20%	53.60%	1%	56%
DK	9%	12.90%	17.40%		

~RACE RELATIONS WITH POLICE IN PENSACOLA (BLACK)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	15.80%	16.40%	15.90%	-1%	16%
Total Negative	84%	79.60%	78.30%	-1%	81%
DK	0%	3.90%	5.70%		

~RACE RELATIONS WITH POLICE IN ESCAMBIA (WHITE)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	34.50%	33.20%	34.40%	1%	34%
Total Negative	59.40%	58.60%	53.70%	-5%	57%
DK	6%	8.20%	11.90%		

~RACE RELATIONS WITH POLICE IN ESCAMBIA (BLACK)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
Total Positive	12.30%	10.80%	48.60%	38%	24%
Total Negative	87.30%	83.80%	51.30%	-33%	74%
DK	0%	5.30%	0%		

Do you believe the criminal justice system in Escambia County does or does not treat Black people and white people equally? (white)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
DOES	53.40%	50.50%	48.40%	-2%	51%
DOES NOT	38.20%	34.10%	35.90%	2%	36%
NOT SURE	0%	15.40%	15.70%		

Do you believe the criminal justice system in Escambia County does or does not treat Black people and white people equally? (black)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	x̄
DOES	18.50%	17.80%	19%	1%	18%
DOES NOT	77.80%	76%	73.60%	-2%	76%
NOT SURE	4%	6.10%	7.50%		

When it comes to addressing the economic and education disparities that exist between most black and white residents in Pensacola and Escambia County, should the goal of local officials be to achieve: (WHITE)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	$\overline{x}$
EQUAL OPPORTUNITY	73.70%	70.40%	**74.30%**	4%	*73%*
EQUAL OUTCOME	18.40%	20%	**16.90%**	-3%	*18%*
NOT SURE	7.90%	9.60%	**8.80%**		

When it comes to addressing the economic and education disparities that exist between most black and white residents in Pensacola and Escambia County, should the goal of local officials be to achieve: (BLACK)	2020	2021	2022	Δ	$\overline{x}$
EQUAL OPPORTUNITY	67.50%	65.20%	**49.40%**	-16%	*61%*
EQUAL OUTCOME	22.30%	31.50%	**32.70%**	1%	*29%*
NOT SURE	10.30%	3.30%	**18%**		